

# Discordance Rate of HER2 Status in Primary Gastric Cancer and Synchronous Lymph Node Metastases: Its Impact on Therapeutic Decision and Clinical Management

A. Ieni<sup>1</sup> · G. Angelico<sup>2</sup> · G. Giuffrè<sup>1</sup> · G. Tuccari<sup>1</sup>

Received: 15 February 2017 / Accepted: 22 June 2017 / Published online: 29 June 2017  
© Arányi Lajos Foundation 2017

Dear Editor,

We have read with great interest the article of Amato et al., “HER2 Status in Gastric Cancer: Comparison between Primary and Distant Metastatic Disease”, which appeared in *Pathol. Oncol. Res.* [1]. In this paper, the Authors have evaluated the HER2 status, by IHC and/or FISH, 41 patients with primary gastric cancer (GC) and paired metastasis. HER2 positivity was encountered in 14.6% primary tumours and 24.4% corresponding metastasis with a concordance rate of 80.5%. Eight out forty-one cases appeared discordant: 6 patients with metastatic HER2 positive lesions were found HER2 negative in primary cancers, while two patients HER2 positive in primary lesions exhibited a negative conversion in metastasis [1]. In detail, in 1/8 metastatic metachronous lesion, a discordant HER2 status (negative conversion) was found; moreover, 7/33 synchronous metastasis showed a discordant HER2 status (6 positive and 1 negative conversion). The Authors suggested the higher rate of HER2 positive status found in metastatic lesions underlined the importance of HER2 assessment in all samples obtained from different sites of GC [1].

Intratumoral heterogeneity HER2 status in different areas of the primary neoplastic lesions has been considered a more common event in GC than in breast cancer (BC) [2]. Different concordance rates between biopsy and paired surgical

resections have been reported ranging from 45.5% to 94% and questioning the reliability of HER2 status on biopsy (GC) specimens [3]. Moreover, Amato et al. [1] showed a high concordance rate between biopsy and surgical GC samples in term of HER2 status (85.7%), in line with previous data [3]. However, conflicting reports have suggested a different number of tissue fragments for adequate assessment in biopsies, such as four or five biopsy samples as the optimal number in GC [4, 5], even though the National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines recommended more than 6 samples to be taken [2]. We contend that additional investigations should be performed in order to clarify the optimal number of gastric biopsies to correctly assess HER2 status in advanced carcinomas, as elsewhere suggested [6].

It is well known that a considerable controversy concerns the issue of HER2 status between primary BC as well as GC and paired metastatic sites or recurrences from the same patient [7–11]. Amato et al. [1] demonstrated a higher rate of HER2 positive status in distant metastatic disease rather than in the related primary cancer due to a positive HER2 conversion. However, we have previously reported that HER2 status differed between primary GC and its nodal metastases in 9.26% of cases, in 10 out of the 108 analyzed GC [10]; in detail, a negative conversion was observed in 6 cases, which were HER2 amplified in the primitive carcinomas and negative in the metastases, while a positive conversion was found in 4 cases, which were HER2 negative in the GC and changed to positive in the lymph node metastases [10]. In our opinion, changes in HER2 status between primary GC and synchronous lymph node metastases may have relevant clinical impact. Indeed, at present patients with advanced GC are candidates for therapy with Trastuzumab on the basis of HER2 positivity in the GC only, while our findings - similarly to that reported by Amato et al. [1] - suggest that HER2 status should be re-assessed, not only in metachronous metastases, but also

---

✉ A. Ieni  
aieni@unime.it

<sup>1</sup> Department of Human Pathology in Adult and Developmental Age “Gaetano Barresi”, Unit of Pathological Anatomy, University of Messina, AOU Policlinico G. Martino, Messina, Italy

<sup>2</sup> Dipartimento Anatomia, Patologia diagnostica, Medicina legale, Igiene e Sanità Pubblica, Università degli Studi di Catania, “Policlinico G. Rodolico”, Catania, Italy

in synchronous nodal metastases before treatment decision. Consequently, testing HER2 expression only in primary GC may reduce the opportunity to be eligible for a targeted therapy in a percentage of patients with a negative primary tumour, but positive lymph node metastases. Hence, we agree with Amato et al., [1] regarding the need to define HER2 status in both primary and metastatic GC lesions in order to perform the best choice in the clinical management of advanced GC.

## References

1. Amato M, Perrone G, Righi D et al (2017) HER2 status in gastric cancer: comparison between primary and distant metastatic disease. *Pathol Oncol Res* 23:55–61
2. Ieni A, Barresi V, Rigoli L et al (2015) HER2 status in premalignant, early, and advanced neoplastic lesions of the stomach. *Dis Markers* 2015:234851
3. Grillo F, Fassan M, Sarocchi F et al (2016) HER2 heterogeneity in gastric/gastroesophageal cancers: from benchside to practice. *World J Gastroenterol* 22:5879–5887
4. Ahn S, Ahn S, Van Vrancken M et al (2015) Ideal number of biopsy tumor fragments for predicting HER2 status in gastric carcinoma resection specimens. *Oncotarget* 6:38372–38380
5. Gullo I, Grillo F, Molinaro L et al (2015) Minimum biopsy set for HER2 evaluation in gastric and gastro-esophageal junction cancer. *Endosc Int Open* 3:E165–E170
6. Park SR, Park YS, Ryu MH et al (2016) Extra-gain of HER2-positive cases through HER2 reassessment in primary and metastatic sites in advanced gastric cancer with initially HER2-negative primary tumours: results of GASTric cancer HER2 reassessment study 1 (GASTHER1). *Eur J Cancer* 53:42–50
7. Pagni F, Zannella S, Ronchi S et al (2013) HER2 status of gastric carcinoma and corresponding lymph node metastasis. *Pathol Oncol Res* 19:103–109
8. Kochi M, Fujii M, Masuda S, et al. (2013) Differing deregulation of HER2 in primary gastric cancer and synchronous related metastatic lymph nodes. *Diagn Pathol* 21;8:191
9. Ieni A, Barresi V, Caltabiano R et al (2014) Discordance rate of HER2 status in primary breast carcinomas versus synchronous axillary lymph node metastases: a multicenter retrospective investigation. *Onco Targets Ther* 7:1267–1272
10. Ieni A, Barresi V, Caltabiano R et al (2014) Discordance rate of HER2 status in primary gastric carcinomas and synchronous lymph node metastases: a multicenter retrospective analysis. *Int J Mol Sci* 15:22331–22341
11. Ieni A, Barresi V, Branca G et al (2015) Changes in human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 status between primary breast/gastric carcinomas and synchronous metastatic lymph nodes: how can we explain them? *J Cancer Metastasis Treat* 1:21–26