

SEMINAR

Cancer Gene Therapy: Combination with Radiation Therapy and the Role of Bystander Cell Killing in the Anti-tumor Effect

Katalin LUMNICZKY, Géza SÁFRÁNY

Department of Molecular and Tumor Radiobiology, National Research Institute for Radiobiology and Radiohygiene, Budapest, Hungary

Current anti-cancer modalities such as surgery, chemo- and radiation therapies have only limited success in cancer treatment. Gene therapy is a promising new tool to improve outcomes. In this review, first we summarize the various strategies to kill tumor cells, and then focus on the bystander effect of gene therapy. A variety of strategies, such as gene-directed enzyme pro-drug therapy, activation of an anti-tumor immune attack, application of replication-competent and oncolytic viral vectors, tumor-specific as well as radiation- and hypoxia-induced gene expression, might be applied to target tumor cells. We put special emphasis on the combination of these approaches with local tumor irradiation. Using the available vector systems, only a small portion of cancer cells contains the therapeutic genes under clinical situations. However, cells directly targeted by gene therapy will transfer

death signals to neighboring cancer cells. This bystander cell killing improves the efficiency of cancer gene therapy. Death signals are delivered by cell-to-cell communication through gap junction intercellular contacts, release of toxic metabolites into the neighborhood or to larger distances, phagocytosis of apoptotic bodies, and the activation of the immune system. Bystander cell killing can be enhanced by the introduction of gap junction proteins into cells, by further activating the immune system with immune-stimulatory molecules, or by introducing genes that help the transfer of cytotoxic genes and/or metabolites into bystander cells. In conclusion, although bystander cell killing can improve therapeutic effects, there should be additional developments in cancer gene therapy for a more efficient clinical application. (Pathology Oncology Research Vol 12, No 2, 118–124)

Key words: gene therapy, bystander effect, gap junction

Introduction

Gene therapy is a potential candidate to improve survival rates in cancer patients. So far, however, the ongoing clinical trials have not presented many promising data. One possible explanation for the unconvincing results is that the first generational viral vectors can penetrate only a small portion of the tumor cells, which is not sufficient for tumor cure. Because of the low penetration

capability, the bystander effect is an absolute requirement to the future success of cancer gene therapy. As stated by Vile et al., “No single gene can be a serious contender, unless it has a demonstrable bystander effect”.¹

In this review, we summarize the various basic gene therapy protocols, and focus on the bystander effects, which might improve the anti-cancer potential.

Basic gene therapy strategies and combinations with radiation therapy

Suicide genes in gene-directed enzyme pro-drug therapy

Gene-directed enzyme pro-drug therapy (GDEPT) with drug-sensitizing genes is a promising tool to overcome resistance and to decrease the unfavorable side effects of chemotherapy.² In GDEPT, tumor cells are transduced with suicide genes that can convert non- or mildly toxic drugs to highly toxic metabolites. The most frequently

Received: Jan 25, 2005; *accepted:* April 20, 2006

Correspondence: Géza SÁFRÁNY, Department of Molecular and Tumor Radiobiology, National Research Institute for Radiobiology and Radiohygiene, Anna u. 5., Budapest, H-1221, Hungary; Fax/Phone: +36-1-482-2010; E-mail: safrany@hp.osski.hu

Acknowledgements

Supported by the following Hungarian Grants: ETT 494/2003, ETT 158/2003, OTKA T-047034, OTKA F-034775, NKFP-1/B-047/2004 and GVOP-3.1.1.-2004-05-0389/3.0

used GDEPT protocol is the thymidine kinase/ganciclovir system. The *Herpes simplex*-derived thymidine kinase (TK) converts ganciclovir (GC) to ganciclovir-monophosphate, which is further phosphorylated by cellular kinases to toxic ganciclovir-triphosphate. Mammalian cells lack TK, thus GC causes toxic effects only in cells transfected with TK.³⁻⁵

A widely applied cancer chemotherapy agent is 5-fluorouracil (5-FU). In mammalian cells, 5-FU is metabolized first into nucleoside fluorouridine by uridine phosphorylase and then phosphorylated into 5-fluoro-2'-uridine-5'-monophosphate (FUMP) by uridine kinase.⁶ Unfortunately, 5-FU resistance and toxic side effects are frequent in cancer patients.

There might be two possibilities to overcome this problem. One of them is to produce 5-FU from the non-toxic 5-fluorocytosine (5-FC) by bacterial or yeast cytosine deaminase enzymes (CD) through GDEPT.^{3,4} Another possibility is to introduce the *E. coli* uracil phosphoribosyltransferase (UPRT) gene into the tumor cells, which converts 5-FU directly and very efficiently into FUMP.^{2,7}

The authors of this review used a double-suicide GDEPT system against murine brain tumors.⁸ The applied adenoviral vector encoded both the TK and the UPRT genes. Intra-tumor injection of this vector and subsequent treatment with the corresponding agents substantially slowed down tumor progression. They have found that under *in vitro* conditions, the combination of 5-FU and ganciclovir treatments with irradiation increased cytotoxicity by three orders of magnitude. In glioma-bearing mice, the combined GDEPT and radiation treatment slowed down tumor progression and improved survival rates.

Activation of the anti-tumor immune response

There are several immunotherapeutic approaches that might increase the immunogenicity of the tumors. One possibility is the introduction of cytokine-encoding genes into the tumor cells. It is expected that the host immune system is activated against the tumor, and will attack the cancer cells present at the primary tumor site and at distant metastases.⁹⁻¹¹

Several reports,^{12,13} including ours,¹⁴ suggested that the combination of radiation therapy with intra-tumor administration of a cytokine-encoding vector or with vaccination with cytokine-secreting autologous cancer cell vaccines substantially slowed down tumor progression. One simple explanation for the synergistic effect of vaccination and radiation therapies is that there is a continuous competition between tumor growth and tumor eradication by the activated immune system. Local irradiation decreases the tumor burden, so the activated immune system could overcome the decreased tumor mass.

Replication-competent and oncolytic viruses

After intra-tumor delivery of the first-generational viral vectors, the infection is limited to cells surrounding the needle track. The low penetration ability might be overcome by viral vectors, suitable to propagate in tumor cells. Some of the replicative vectors have oncolytic capacities, as well. One of the first conditionally replicative, oncolytic vectors was the ONYX-015 adenovirus.¹⁵ In ONYX-015 the E1B region was removed from the wild-type adenovirus. The E1B protein has two different roles in infected cells. It helps turning on the expression of late adenoviral genes, and binds to and inactivates the cellular p53 protein. In the absence of E1B, p53 inhibits adenovirus replication in normal cells. Because p53 is absent or mutated in most of the cancer cells, the ONYX virus might replicate in and kill the p53-deficient tumor cells. The anticancer effect of ONYX-015 is under evaluation in a few clinical trials including head and neck cancer and metastatic lung tumors.^{16,17} ONYX is much more effective when combined with radiation in colon carcinoma and glioma tumor models.^{18,19} Some viruses, such as vaccinia, measles, herpes simplex, Newcastle disease virus can preferentially replicate in tumor cells and demonstrate oncolytic activities.²⁰ Ionizing radiation improves the oncolytic effect of herpes simplex²¹, vaccinia²² and Newcastle disease (Sáfrány et al., manuscript in preparation) viruses.

Tumor-specific and radiation-driven therapeutic gene expression

In cancer gene therapy it would be highly preferable if the therapeutic genes were expressed and/or the vectors replicated only in the targeted tumor cells. To achieve this, gene expression and/or vector replication should be placed under the control of tumor-, radiation- or hypoxia-specific promoters.²³⁻²⁶ The EGR1 radiation-induced promoter contains four copies of the CCAT₆GG sequence (CARG element), which is responsible for radiation induction (3-fold by 2 Gy).^{27,28} Several viral vectors were constructed where the expression of the therapeutic gene was placed under the control CARG elements.^{29,30} When breast cancer, lung, rectum, pancreas tumor and melanoma patients were treated with the vector and tumor irradiation, very promising results were obtained.²⁹

The p21^{WAF1} promoter is also induced by radiation.²³ When the inducible nitric-oxide-synthase (iNOS) gene was placed under the control of the WAF1 promoter, significant tumor growth delay, apoptosis induction and tumor cell radiosensitization were achieved.^{31,32}

Hypoxia-induced gene expression

Tumor hypoxia is usually associated with aggressive disease and poor prognosis. Tumor hypoxia might be utilized in cancer gene therapy by putting the therapeutic genes

under the control of hypoxia-responsible elements (HREs). HREs are enhancers containing the (A/G)CGT(G/C)(G/C) sequence and are present in the promoter region of several hypoxia-responsive genes, such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), erythropoietin and phosphoglycerate kinase.^{23,33} When five copies of HRE were linked to a minimal CMV promoter, hypoxia induced a 500-fold gene expression.³⁴

Clinical trials

By January 2006, at least 1132 gene therapy clinical trials have been initiated, most of them in the USA (742) and Europe (327). Sixty-seven percent of these trials aim to cure cancer. So far, only few of them have reached phase III, many of them did not get beyond phase I.³⁵ Most of the anticancer trials applied the TK-GC protocol. One of the biggest, randomized phase III trial was conducted against glioblastoma multiforme (GBM).³⁶ Two-hundred-forty-eight patients were treated either with standard therapy (surgery + radiation) or with the combination of standard and gene therapies. In the combined gene therapy protocol the tumor site was infiltrated immediately after surgery with allogeneic fibroblasts producing a TK-encoding retroviral vector and consequently the patients were treated with GC.

The trial proved the safety of this approach, but neither disease progression nor overall survival was significantly different between the two patient groups. A similar trial, which included fewer patients (37 TK-GC treated versus 19 standard treatments), was performed in Finland.³⁷ The main difference was that in the Finnish trial a TK-encoding adenoviral vector was used. In this case, the gene therapy-treated group presented significantly improved mean survival rates. Again, no serious adverse effects have been observed.

The current state of anti-cancer clinical trials has been extensively reviewed.³⁸⁻⁴¹ The major conclusion is that the application of various viral vectors is safe, but so far the clinical advantage of the various protocols has not been proved. The unsatisfactory clinical results might be explained by the low tumor infiltration capability of the currently available vector systems. Despite of a significant bystander effect, transgene expression remained insufficient under clinical situations. A potential solution might be the application of replicative, oncolytic viruses. Perhaps the most prominent representative of the conditionally replicating viral vectors is ONYX-015, as mentioned earlier. The therapeutic efficacy of ONYX-015 is under evaluation in head and neck,¹⁶ hepatobiliary⁴² and brain tumors.⁴³ Unfortunately, a significant benefit have not been detected so far from ONYX treatments alone. However, its combination with chemotherapy is a promising approach in head and neck cancer.⁴⁴

Bystander effects in gene therapy

It is well known that ionizing radiation has serious consequences on cells directly hit by radiation (cell death, carcinogenic mutations, genomic instability, etc.). Beside this, radiation-induced effects might be observed on cells directly not targeted by radiation. This phenomenon is called the bystander effect of radiation. The bystander effect can contribute to the death of the neighboring, directly non-targeted cells or to the development of mutations. When cancer gene therapy is combined with radiation therapy, radiation-induced lethal bystander effects might increase the death of malignant cells. In an analogous manner, genetically modified cells during cancer gene therapy may also deliver various signals to the neighboring cells. In the following chapters, we will focus on the bystander death signals that may contribute to a more efficient cancer cure.

As mentioned above, the most frequently studied gene therapeutic strategy is the TK-GC system. Ganciclovir is not toxic for mammalian cells. After initial phosphorylation by TK, cellular kinases will generate the toxic triphosphate form of GC, which kills TK-containing cells. The question is whether TK-minus cells could be killed by the bystander effects. This presumed bystander effect might present death signals or toxic pro-drug metabolites to the neighboring cells, and even to cells at distant metastases. The bystander effect might occur via intercellular communications, by phagocytosis of apoptotic bodies, through the activation of the immune system, or by the release of cytotoxic metabolites.^{45,46}

The mechanisms of the bystander effects

Exchange of toxic metabolites through gap junctions

The bystander effect, produced by ganciclovir-mediated killing of cells transduced with the TK gene, defines the cooperative killing of non-transduced cells. The major contributor to this phenomenon is a metabolic cooperation involving the transfer of cytotoxic small molecules between cells mainly through cell-to-cell interactions. When TK-positive cells were co-cultured with TK-negative cells at high densities, both TK+ and TK- cells were killed by GC. However, when the cells were co-cultured at low cell densities, only the TK+ cells were killed. This suggests that cell-to-cell contact is necessary for the bystander effect and cells might communicate through gap junctions.^{45,46}

Gap junctions are important mediators of direct intercellular communications. Ions, small metabolite molecules, second messengers and certain dyes can pass through gap junctions. Gap junctions consist of two hexameric integral membrane protein hemi-channels termed connexons, which interact across the narrow extracellular space to cre-

ate a complete channel. The connexons are composed of six connexin protein subunits that surround the central pore. At least 14 different connexins have been identified in mammals. Gap junctions allow the passage of molecules less than 1 kDa in size, such as triphosphorylated GC. Protein kinase A activated by cAMP-mediated signals is the only well-characterized signal transduction system that increases gap junctional intercellular communication (GJIC) in most cell types.^{45,46}

It was suggested that the presence of gap junctions in the target cells is much more important than that in the effector cells.⁴⁷ Connexin expression in rat glioma 9L cells is much higher than in C6 cells. Both 9L and C6 cells were transduced with TK gene and different combinations of TK+ and TK- cells were treated with GC. A strong bystander effect was detected in 9L cells, which was absent in C6 cells. When wild-type 9L cells were mixed with TK-containing C6 cells, also a strong bystander effect was detectable. However, the bystander effect was not detectable in the mixture of wild-type C6 and TK+ 9L cells. Similar *in vivo* effects were observed when different combinations of TK+ and TK- cells were transplanted into athymic nude mice.

Further confirming the importance of target cells, C6 cells were transduced with the connexin 43 gene and mixed with TK+ C6 cells. This combination exhibited a strong bystander effect under *in vitro* conditions compared to connexin non-transduced cells.⁴⁷

The intracellular TK level might also influence the bystander effects. Cells were transduced with either one or two copies of TK. The efficiency of GC killing and the magnitude of the bystander effect were compared for the single- and double-copy TK+ cell lines. Cells that expressed two copies of TK metabolized GC more efficiently than single-copy TK+ cells. They were also more sensitive to GC, and demonstrated improved bystander killing.⁴⁸

Release of soluble factors

Some of the published data suggest that the presence of gap junctions is not obligatory for the bystander effects. In several instances bystander cell killing was reported when the TK+ effector and the TK- target cells were not in contact or when they were separated physically by permeable membranes, or even when the medium was transferred from one cell culture dish to the other. Princen et al. analyzed the mechanisms of the bystander effect in two cell lines showing differences in cellular communication (DHD/K12 and 9L). 9L cells exhibited a strong bystander effect, while DHD/K12 cells demonstrated only a moderate one. Chemical inhibition of gap junctions blocked the bystander effect only in 9L cells.

The transfer of culture medium from GC-treated TK+ DHD/K12 cells to untreated TK- cells induced cell death

in the untreated cells, suggesting the release of toxic GC metabolites into the medium by TK-transduced cells.⁴⁹ Moreover, SW620 human colon carcinoma cells could form only a limited number of gap junctions, still they could present strong bystander signals to neighboring cells. These cells could also release toxic GC metabolites into the medium.^{46,50}

It seems that the contact-independent bystander effect is cell type-dependent. Several cell lines (DHD/K12, SW620 or A15A5 rat glioma) are capable for the release of cytotoxic metabolites (the phosphorylated forms of GC) into the medium, while others (9L rat glioma) are not.⁴⁶

Uptake of apoptotic vesicles

Some data suggest that the phagocytosis of apoptotic bodies might contribute to the bystander cell killing. After GC-treatment, TK+ cells will die mainly by apoptosis. During apoptotic cell death, apoptotic bodies are formed by the dying cells and these bodies might be phagocytosed by other, TK- cells. In this manner, TK- cells can pick up death signals that can lead to apoptotic death. The bystander effect was eliminated when apoptotic vesicle transfer was prevented.⁵¹ However, according to other data it is also possible that toxic metabolites were already transferred to the TK- cells before phagocytosis of the apoptotic bodies, and this led to the cell death. Hamel et al. detected apoptosis in bystander cells and found that bystander cell death could be inhibited by the overexpression of the anti-apoptotic Bcl2 gene. They also proved that bystander cell death occurred before the phagocytosis of apoptotic bodies.⁵²

Induction of immune responses

The immune system might have substantial contribution to bystander cell killing under *in vivo* conditions. When animals with TK+ tumors were treated with GC, the residual tumors were infiltrated by inflammatory cells. The inflammatory cells consisted of CD4+ and CD8+ lymphocytes, NK cells and macrophages. When tumor cells were re-injected in the surviving animals, they were rejected, demonstrating long-term immunity.⁵³

Bi et al. investigated the bystander effect in an oral squamous cell carcinoma cell line growing in nude mice.⁵⁴ They transplanted the mixture of TK+ and TK- cells on one flank of the mice and TK- cells on the other flank, and treated the animals with GC. Interestingly, anti-tumor effect was observed at both tumor locations. Although nude mice are T-cell deficient, still they have intact monocytes and macrophages, and are able to produce antibodies. When this experiment was repeated in SCID-Beige mice, which are deficient in T, B- and NK cells, but still possess macrophage activity, the anti-tumor response was

absent in the TK- tumor. The data suggest that an immune-related anti-tumor attack is responsible for the distant bystander effect.⁵⁴

Increasing bystander cell killing potential

As summarized above, bystander cell killing contributes to the efficacy of cancer gene therapy. Improvements in bystander cell killing might further increase the anti-tumor effect of gene therapy. Several possibilities are outlined below.

Restoration of gap junctional intercellular communications

Gap junctional intercellular communications (GJIC) are very important, cell type-dependent mediators of bystander effects.⁴⁵⁻⁴⁸ The gap junction-dependent diffusion of phosphorylated ganciclovir metabolites from transfected cells to their neighbors was proved to enhance the overall benefit of the TK-GC system. Unfortunately, tumor cells are often gap junction-deficient.⁴⁶ There are several possibilities to improve GJIC. For instance, all-trans-retinoic acid can increase connexin 43 expression in various tumor cell lines and facilitate GC-induced bystander cell killing both under *in vitro* and *in vivo* conditions.⁵⁵

Robe et al. demonstrated that dibutyryl adenosine 3',5'-cyclic monophosphate (cAMP) can induce GJIC in glioblastoma cells and improve the efficacy of TK-GC treatment.⁵⁶ In a human choriocarcinoma cell line 8-bromo-cAMP increased connexin 40 mRNA expression, gap junctional intercellular communication and the bystander effect of the TK-GC system.⁵⁷

GJIC can also be restored by transfection of the cells with genes encoding connexin. HeLa cells are deficient in gap junctions and do not exhibit bystander cell killing by TK-GC. The introduction of the connexin 43 gene into the cells resulted in the killing of TK- cells when they were in contact with TK+ ones. This cell killing effect was absent when TK+ and TK- cells were co-cultured without direct cell-cell contact.⁵⁸ The introduction of the connexin 43 gene into the cells improved cell-to-cell communications under *in vivo* conditions, as well. When the mixture of TK+ and TK- HeLa cells were transplanted into nude mice, GC treatment had only moderate effect on tumor growth. However, when cells were transfected with the connexin 43 gene before transplantation, tumor growth retardation was highly improved after GC treatment.⁵⁹

The effect of connexin 43 expression on the susceptibility of CNS1 and C6 rat glioma cell lines to TK-GC was investigated by Sanson et al.⁶⁰ It was found that the bystander effect in these cells correlated with gap junctional communication dependent on connexin 43 level. Transfection of C6 cells (deficient in GJIC) with the connexin 43 gene increased GJIC and bystander cell killing when the cells were in contact.⁶⁰

Augmenting the immune-related anticancer response

Increasing the anti-tumor immune response might enhance the bystander effect as well. Walling et al. used retroviral vectors to introduce the TK and interleukin-2 genes into human osteosarcoma cells.⁶¹ They detected a strong bystander effect both under *in vitro* and *in vivo* conditions, when the mixture of TK+ and TK- cells was transplanted into nude mice. In a second set of experiments, they transplanted two tumors into the mice. The first tumor contained only TK- cells, while the other was a mixture of TK+ and TK- cells. GC treatment caused the regression of both tumors. Growth retardation of the TK- tumor was further improved if the other tumor carried the interleukine-2 gene, beside TK, suggesting a potential role for the immune system in the distant bystander effect.

Linking the thymidine kinase gene to other proteins

It is possible to induce a gap junction-independent bystander cytotoxic effect by linking the TK gene to the gene of another herpes virus protein, VP22. The VP22 protein has been shown to pass freely between cells by an unknown mechanism. VP22 is exported from the producer cells by a Golgi-independent mechanism. VP22 has a unique ability to re-enter surrounding cells. It can spread to almost every cell in a monolayer from only a few producer cells. VP22 fusion proteins might function as potent protein delivery systems.⁴⁶ A VP22-TK construct was tested on different tumor cell lines *in vitro* and *in vivo* to improve bystander killing. The VP22-TK chimeric proteins spread between cells in sufficient quantities to induce cell death in response to GC treatment, not only in the primary TK+ cells but also in surrounding TK- cells. This effect was observed *in vitro* after GC treatment of transfected tissue culture cells, and *in vivo* after GC treatment of mice injected with tumor cells transduced with VP22-TK fusion genes. This suggests a new strategy to increase the effectiveness of suicide gene therapy for the treatment of cancers.⁶²

Apoptosis-inducing therapeutic genes

Induction of apoptosis in cancer cells can be achieved by the introduction of pro-apoptotic genes (FasL, TRAIL). Fas ligand (FasL) is a membrane protein that belongs to the TNF family. It binds to the Fas receptor and induces apoptosis in sensitive cells. It was demonstrated that the introduction of FasL into prostate cancer cells by adenoviral vectors initiated apoptosis and the formation of apoptotic bodies. These apoptotic bodies were released into the local environment and phagocytosed by neighboring cells, leading to bystander cell killing.⁶³

TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) is another member of the TNF family. TRAIL induces apoptosis in

transformed, but not in normal cells. TRAIL was cloned into an adenoviral vector and transduced into cancer cell lines. Overexpression of TRAIL induced apoptosis in transduced cells and TRAIL was released into the medium. When the TRAIL-containing medium was transferred to soluble TRAIL-sensitive cell lines, it induced bystander cell death.⁶⁴

Interferon-gamma (IFN- γ) can modulate the anticancer activities of TNF family members including TRAIL. Park et al. demonstrated that pre-treatment of cancer cell lines with IFN- γ increased the production of interferon regulatory factor-1 (IRF-1) within the cells. IRF-1 induction improved TRAIL-induced apoptosis.⁶⁵ These data suggest that TRAIL-related bystander effects might be augmented by IFN- γ treatment.

Conclusion

Animal experiments provided an enormous amount of data that cancer gene therapy might be an efficient new therapeutic agent. Despite of this fact, the ongoing clinical trials proved only the safety of these treatment modalities, but they had not contributed significantly to the survival of cancer patients. The development of new vector systems and improvements in modulating the bystander effects may give new, additional opportunities to a more successful clinical approach.

References

- Vile RG, Russell SJ, Lemoine NR: Cancer gene therapy: hard lessons and new courses. *Gene Ther* 7: 2-8, 2000
- Inaba M, Sawaa H, Sadata A, Hamada H: Circumvention of 5-fluorouracil resistance in human stomach cancer cells by uracil phosphoribosyl transferase gene transduction. *Jpn J Cancer Res* 90: 349-354, 1999
- Aghi M, Hochberg F, Breakfield XO: Prodrug activation enzymes in cancer gene therapy. *J Gene Med* 2: 148-164, 2000
- Aghi M, Kramm CM, Chou T, et al: Synergistic anticancer effects of ganciclovir/thymidine kinase and 5-fluorocytosine/cytosine deaminase gene therapies. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 90: 370-380, 1998
- Takamiya Y, Short MP, Ezzeddine ZD, et al: Gene therapy of malignant brain tumors: a rat glioma line bearing the herpes simplex virus type 1-thymidine kinase gene and wild type retrovirus kills other tumor cells. *J Neurosci Res* 33: 493-503, 1992
- Kanai F, Kawakami T, Hamada H, et al: Adenovirus-mediated transduction of Escherichia coli uracil phosphoribosyltransferase gene sensitizes cancer cells to low concentrations of 5-fluorouracil. *Cancer Res* 58: 1946-1951, 1998
- Maron A, Gustin T, Le Roux A, et al: Gene therapy of rat C6 glioma using adenovirus-mediated transfer of the herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase gene: long-term follow-up by magnetic resonance imaging. *Gene Ther* 3: 315-322, 1996
- Desaknai S, Lumniczky K, Esik O, et al: Local tumor irradiation enhances the anti-tumor effect of a double-suicide gene therapy system in a murine glioma model. *J Gene Med* 5: 377-385, 2003
- Shawler DL, Fakhrai H, Van Beveren C, et al: Gene therapy approaches to enhance antitumor immunity. *Adv Pharmacol* 40: 309-337, 1997
- Dranoff G, Jaffee E, Lazenby A, et al: Vaccination with irradiated tumor cells engineered to secrete murine granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor stimulates potent, specific, and long-lasting anti-tumor immunity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 90: 3539-3543, 1993
- Allione A, Consalvo M, Nanni P, et al: Immunizing and curative potential of replicating and non-replicating murine mammary adenocarcinoma cells engineered with interleukin IL-2, IL-4, IL-6, IL-7, IL-10, tumor necrosis factor alpha, granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor, and gamma-interferon gene or admixed with conventional adjuvants. *Cancer Res* 54: 6022-6026, 1994
- Li J, Andres ML, Fodor I, et al: Evaluation of pGL1-TNF-alpha therapy in combination with radiation. *Oncol Res* 10: 379-387, 1998
- Staba MJ, Mauceri HJ, Kufe DW, et al: Adenoviral TNF-alpha gene therapy and radiation damage tumor vasculature in a human malignant glioma xenograft. *Gene Ther* 5: 293-300, 1998
- Lumniczky K, Desaknai S, Mangel L, et al: Local tumor irradiation augments the anti-tumor effect of cytokine producing autologous cancer cell vaccines in a murine glioma model. *Cancer Gene Ther* 9: 44-52, 2002
- Hann B, Balmain A: Replication of an E1B 55-kilodalton protein-deficient adenovirus ONYX-015 is restored by gain-of-function rather than loss-function p53 mutants. *J Virol* 77: 11588-11595, 2003
- Nemunaitis J, Khuri F, Ganly I, et al: Phase II trial of intratumoral administration of ONYX-015, a replication-selective adenovirus, in patients with refractory head and neck cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 19: 289-298, 2001
- Nemunaitis J, Cunningham C, Buchanan A, et al: Intravenous infusion of a replication-selective adenovirus ONYX-015 in cancer patients: safety, feasibility and biological activity. *Gene Ther* 8: 746-759, 2001
- Rogulski KR, Freytag SO, Zhang K, et al: In vivo antitumor activity of ONYX-015 is influenced by p53 status and is augmented by radiotherapy. *Cancer Res* 60: 1193-1196, 2000
- Geoerger B, Grill J, Opolon P, et al: Potentiation of radiation therapy by the oncolytic adenovirus dl 1520 ONYX-015 in human malignant glioma xenografts. *Br J Cancer* 89: 577-584, 2003
- Lin E, Nemunaitis J: Oncolytic viral therapies. *Cancer Gene Ther* 11: 643-664, 2004
- Stanziale SF, Petrowsky H, et al: Ionizing radiation potentiates the antitumor efficacy of oncolytic herpes simplex virus G207 by up-regulating ribonucleotide reductase. *Surgery* 132: 353-359, 2002
- Timiryasova TM, Gridley DS, Chen B, et al: Radiation enhances the anti-tumor effects of vaccinia-p53 gene therapy in glioma. *Technol Cancer Res Treat* 2: 223-235, 2003
- Robson T, Hirst DG: Transcriptional targeting in cancer gene therapy. *J Biomed Biotechnol* 110-137, 2003
- Hallahan DE, Mauceri HJ, Seung LP, et al: Spatial and temporal control of gene therapy using ionizing radiation. *Nat Med* 1: 786-791, 1995
- Weichselbaum RR, Hallahan DE, Beckett MA, et al: Gene therapy targeted by radiation preferentially radiosensitizes tumor cells. *Cancer Res* 54: 4266-4269, 1994
- Horsman MR, Bohm L, Margison GP, et al: Tumor radiosensitizers – current status of development of various approaches: report of an International Atomic Energy Agency meeting. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 64: 551-561, 2006
- Chastel C, Jiricny J, Jaussi R: Activation of stress-responsive promoters by ionizing radiation for deployment in targeted gene therapy. *DNA Repair* 3: 201-215, 2004
- Manome Y, Kunieda T, Wen PY, et al: Transgene expression in malignant glioma using a replication-defective adenoviral vector

- containing the Egr-1 promoter: activation by ionizing radiation or uptake of radioactive iodo-deoxyuridine. *Hum Gene Ther* 9: 1409-1417, 1998
29. Weichselbaum RR, Kufe DW, Hellman S, et al: Radiation-induced tumor necrosis factor- α expression: clinical application of transcriptional and physical targeting of gene therapy. *Lancet Oncol* 3: 665-671, 2002
 30. Joki T, Nakamura M, Ohno T: Activation of the radiosensitive EGR-1 promoter induces expression of the herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase gene and sensitivity of human glioma cells to ganciclovir. *Hum Gene Ther* 6: 1507-1513, 1995
 31. Worthington J, Robson T, O'Keefe M, Hirst DG: Tumor cell radiosensitization using constitutive CMV and radiation inducible WAF1 promoters to drive the iNOS gene: a novel suicide gene therapy. *Gene Ther* 9: 263-269, 2002
 32. Worthington J, McCarthy HO, Barrett E, et al: Use of the radiation-inducible WAF1 promoter to drive iNOS gene therapy as a novel anti-cancer treatment. *J Gene Med* 6: 673-680, 2004
 33. Marples B, Greco O, Joiner MC, Scott SD: Radiogenetic therapy: strategies to overcome tumor resistance. *Curr Pharm Des* 9: 2105-2112, 2003
 34. Shibata T, Giaccia AJ, Brown JM: Development of a hypoxia-responsive vector for tumor-specific gene therapy. *Gene Ther* 7: 493-498, 2000
 35. J Gene Med Clinical Trial Site.
 36. Rainov NG: A phase III clinical evaluation of herpes simplex virus type 1 thymidine kinase and ganciclovir gene therapy as an adjuvant to surgical resection and radiation in adults with previously untreated glioblastoma multiforme. *Hum Gene Ther* 20: 2389-2401, 2000
 37. Immonen A, Vapalahti M, Tynnela K, et al: AdvHSV-tk gene therapy with intravenous ganciclovir improves survival in human malignant glioma: a randomised, controlled study. *Mol Ther* 10: 967-972, 2004
 38. Palmer DH, Young LS, Mautner V: Cancer gene-therapy: clinical trials. *Trends Biotechnol* 24: 76-82, 2006
 39. Laheru D, Jaffe EM: Immunotherapy for pancreatic cancer – science driving clinical progress. *Nat Rev Cancer* 5: 459-467, 2005
 40. Pulkkanen KJ, Yla-Herttuala S: Gene therapy for malignant glioma: current clinical status. *Mol Ther* 12: 585-598, 2005
 41. Lawler SE, Peruzzi PP, Chiocca EA: Genetic strategies for brain tumor therapy. *Cancer Gene Ther* 13: 225-233, 2006
 42. Makower D, Rozenblit A, Kaufman H, et al: Phase II clinical trial of intralesional administration of the oncolytic adenovirus ONYX-015 in patients with hepatobiliary tumors with correlative p53 studies. *Clin Cancer Res* 9: 693-702, 2003
 43. Chiocca EA, Abbed KM, Tatter S, et al: A phase I open-label, dose-escalation, multi-institutional trial of injection with an E1B-attenuated adenovirus, ONYX-015, into the peritumoral region of recurrent malignant gliomas, in the adjuvant setting. *Mol Ther* 10: 958-966, 2004
 44. Khuri FR, Nemunaitis J, Gantly I, et al: A controlled trial of intratumoral ONYX-015, a selectively replicating adenovirus in combination with cisplatin and 5-fluorouracil in patients with recurrent head and neck cancer. *Nat Med* 16: 879-885, 2000
 45. Dilber MS, Gahrton G: Suicide gene therapy: possible applications in haematopoietic disorders. *J Intern Med* 249: 359-367, 2001
 46. van Dillen IJ, Mulder NH, Vaalburg W, et al: Influence of the bystander effect on HSV-TK/GCV gene therapy. A review. *Curr Gene Ther* 2: 307-322, 2002
 47. Namba H, Iwadate Y, Kawamura K, et al: Efficacy of the bystander effect in the herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase-mediated gene therapy is influenced by the expression of connexin43 in the target cells. *Cancer Gene Ther* 8: 414-420, 2001
 48. Kim YG, Bi W, Feliciano ES, et al: Ganciclovir-mediated cell killing and bystander effect is enhanced in cells with two copies of the herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase gene. *Cancer Gene Ther* 7: 240-246, 2000
 49. Princen F, Robe P, Lechanteur C, et al: A cell type-specific and gap junction-independent mechanism for the herpes simplex virus-1 thymidine kinase gene/ganciclovir-mediated bystander effect. *Clin Cancer Res* 5: 3639-3644, 1999
 50. Drake RR, Pitlyk K, McMasters RA, et al: Connexin-independent ganciclovir-mediated killing conferred on bystander effect-resistant cell lines by a herpes simplex virus-thymidine kinase-expressing colon cell line. *Mol Ther* 2: 515-523, 2000
 51. Freeman SM, Abboud CN, Whartenby KA, et al: The “bystander effect”: tumor regression when a fraction of the tumor mass is genetically modified. *Cancer Res* 53: 5274-5283, 1993
 52. Hamel W, Magnelli L, Chiarugi VP, Israel MA: Herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase/ganciclovir-mediated apoptotic death of bystander cells. *Cancer Res* 56: 2697-2702, 1996
 53. Barba D, Hardin J, Sadelain M, Gage FH: Development of anti-tumor immunity following thymidine kinase-mediated killing of experimental brain tumors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 91: 4348-4352, 1994
 54. Bi W, Kim YG, Feliciano ES, et al: An HSVtk-mediated local and distant antitumor bystander effect in tumors of head and neck origin in athymic mice. *Cancer Gene Ther* 4: 246-252, 1997
 55. Park JY, Elshami AA, Amin K, et al: Retinoids augment the bystander effect in vitro and in vivo in herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase/ganciclovir-mediated gene therapy. *Gene Ther* 4: 909-917, 1997
 56. Robe PA, Princen F, Martin D, et al: Pharmacological modulation of the bystander effect in the herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase/ganciclovir gene therapy system: effects of dibutyladenosine 3',5'-cyclic monophosphate, alpha-glycyrrhetic acid, and cytosine arabinoside. *Biochem Pharmacol* 60: 241-249, 2000
 57. Kunishige I, Samejima Y, Moriyama A, et al: cAMP stimulates the bystander effect in suicide gene therapy of human choriocarcinoma. *Anticancer Res* 18: 3411-3419, 1998
 58. Mesnil M, Piccoli C, Tiraby G, et al: Bystander killing of cancer cells by herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase gene is mediated by connexins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 93: 1831-1835, 1996
 59. Dufloy-Dancer A, Piccoli C, Rolland A, et al: Long-term connexin-mediated bystander effect in highly tumorigenic human cells in vivo in herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase/ganciclovir gene therapy. *Gene Ther* 5: 1372-1378, 1998
 60. Sanson M, Marcaud V, Robin E, et al: Connexin 43-mediated bystander effect in two rat glioma cell models. *Cancer Gene Ther* 9: 149-155, 2002
 61. Walling HW, Swarthout JT, Culver KW: Bystander-mediated regression of osteosarcoma via retroviral transfer of the herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase and human interleukin-2 genes. *Cancer Gene Ther* 7: 187-196, 2000
 62. Liu CS, Kong B, Xia HH, et al: VP22 enhanced intercellular trafficking of HSV thymidine kinase reduced the level of ganciclovir needed to cause suicide cell death. *J Gene Med* 3: 145-152, 2001
 63. Hyer ML, Sudarshan S, Schwartz DA, et al: Quantification and characterization of the bystander effect in prostate cancer cells following adenovirus-mediated FasL expression. *Cancer Gene Ther* 10: 330-339, 2003
 64. Seol JY, Park KH, Hwang CI, et al: Adenovirus-TRAIL can overcome TRAIL resistance and induce a bystander effect. *Cancer Gene Ther* 10: 540-548, 2003
 65. Park SY, Seol JW, Lee YJ, et al: IFN-gamma enhances TRAIL-induced apoptosis through IRF-1. *Eur J Biochem* 271: 4222-4228, 2004